# **QUADRATIC RESPONSE THEORY FOR THE INTERACTION OF CHARGED PARTICLES WITH AN ELECTRON GAS**

J.M. Pitarke and I. Campillo

Materia Kondentsatuaren Fisika Saila, Zientzi Fakultatea, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea, 644 Posta kutxatila, 48080 Bilbo, Basque Country, Spain

(Received for publication May 14, 1996 and in revised form December 4, 1996)

### **Abstract**

## **Introduction**

A survey is presented of the theoretical status of quadratic response theories for the understanding of non-linear aspects in the interaction of charged particles with matter. In the frame of the many-body perturbation theory, we study the interaction of charged particles with an electron gas, within the random-phase approximation (RPA). In particular, nonlinear corrections to the stopping power of an electron gas for ions are analyzed, and special emphasis is made on the contribution to the stopping power coming from the excitation of single and double plasmons. Double plasmon mean free paths of swift electrons passing through an electron gas are also discussed.

**Key Words**: Double plasmons, electron gas, mean free paths, quadratic response, random-phase approximation, stopping power.

\*Address for correspondence: J.M. Pitarke, address as above.

Telephone number: 34-4-464 77 00 FAX number: 34-4-464 85 00 E-mail: wmppitoj@lg.ehu.es

A quantitative description of the interaction of charged particles with matter is of basic importance in many different theoretical and applied areas [25]. When an ion penetrates condensed matter, it causes changes in the charge state of the ion. Electrons may be stripped from the ion or captured from electronic states of the solid; dynamic screening by valence electrons originates a wake of electron density fluctuations, and the ion may lose energy to the medium through different types of elastic and inelastic collision processes. When a swift electron travels in a solid, it may also lose energy to the medium. While at relativistic velocities, radiative losses may become important, for incident charged particles in the nonrelativistic regime, the significant energy losses appear as a consequence of electron-electron interac-tions, giving rise to the generation of electron-hole pairs, collective oscillations, and inner-shell excitations and ionizations.

Since the pioneering works of Bohm and Pines [17, 37], the response of conduction electrons in metals to exter-nal charged particles has been represented within the elec-tron gas model, by replacing the ionic lattice by a homogeneous background which serves to provide neutrality to the system. The screening properties of a system of interacting electrons are determined, within linear response theory, by the wavevector and frequency dependent longitudinal dielectric function  $\varepsilon_{\alpha,\omega}$ . In the self-consistent field, or random-phase, approximation, the dielectric function of an electron gas was first derived by Lindhard [32], and, subsequently, a number of workers gave alternative expressions for  $\varepsilon_{\alpha\omega}$ , incorporating various many-body higher order local-field corrections [31, 47, 48, 49] and band effects [1, 21, 54]. The effect of dissipative processes occurring in a real metal and conversion of plasmons into multiple electron-hole pairs may be allowed for in an approximate way by including a damping coefficient in the dielectric function [35].

Nevertheless, the validity of the linear response theory, which treats the perturbing potential to lowest order, is not obvious *a priori*. Although lowest order perturbation theory leads to energy losses that are proportional to the square of the projectile charge  $[16]$ ,  $Z_1$ e, from measurements on positive and negative pions [10, 11] and, also, on protons and antiprotons [2, 33, 34], it is known that the energy loss exhibits

a dependence on the sign of the charge [5, 6, 7, 26, 29, 36, 39, 40, 51]. On the other hand, experimentally observed nonlinear double plasmon excitations [46, 50] cannot be described within linear response theory [4, 38], and nonlinearities may also play an important role on the electronic wake generated by moving ions in an electron gas [3, 12, 22, 41]. Finally, lowest order perturbation theory breaks down when the projectile is capable of carrying bound electrons with it [25].

The first full nonlinear calculation of the electronic stopping power of an electron gas was performed by Echenique *et al*. [9, 23, 24], in the low-velocity limit. They used a scattering theory approach to the stopping power, and the scattering cross sections were calculated for a statically screened potential which was determined self-consistently by using density-functional theory. These static screening calculations have recently been extended to velocities approaching the Fermi velocity [55]. Alternatively, in the case of incident ions a theoretical effective charge can be associated [18], and non-linearities can be investigated, within a quadratic response theory, extending, therefore, the range of validity of linear response theory and providing results for arbitrary velocities. A quadratic response theory of the energy loss of charged particles in an electron gas has recently been carried out [42] by following a diagrammatic analysis of many-body interactions between a moving charge and the electron gas.

In this paper, we present a survey of the theoretical status of investigations carried out within a quadratic re-sponse theory for the understanding of nonlinear aspects in the interaction of charged particles with an electron gas. We present general procedures to calculate, within many-body perturbation theory, double plasmon excitation probabilities,  $Z^3_1e^3$  contributions to the stopping power of an electron gas for ions and the non-linear wake potential generated by moving ions in an electron gas. We focus on the contribution to the stopping power coming from the excitation of single and double plasmons.

Unless otherwise stated, atomic units are used throughout  $(\hbar = m_e = e^2 = 1)$ .

#### **Theory**

We consider a probe of charge  $Z_1$  interacting with a many-particle system. The excitation of eigenmodes of the target together with the reaction of the probe to these excitations can be described by the self-energy of the probe. For an incoming particle in a state  $\phi_0$  of energy  $p^0$  one writes [28]:

$$
\Sigma_0 = \int d^3r \int d^3r' \phi_0^* (r) \Sigma(r, r', p^0) \phi_0(r')
$$
 (1)

where  $\Sigma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', p^0)$  represents the non-local self-energy.

The real part of  $\Sigma_0$  gives us the real energy shift due to

the interaction with the medium, and the imaginary part is well-known to be directly related to the damping rate experienced by the particle as a consequence of the interaction with real excitations of the target:

$$
\gamma = -2Im\Sigma_0 \tag{2}
$$

We take the target to be described by an isotropic homogeneous assembly of electrons immersed in a uniform background of positive charge and volume  $\Omega$ , and we use, therefore, plane waves to describe the incident particle states. Consequently,

$$
\gamma = -2Im\Sigma_p \tag{3}
$$

where  $\sum_{p}$  represents the Fourier transform of  $\sum(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^*, p^0)$ ,  $p =$  $(\mathbf{p}, p^0)$ , and **p** is the momentum of the probe.

The self-energy,  $\Sigma_p$ , can be calculated in the so-called GW approximation [43, 45]:

$$
\Sigma_p = iZ_1^2 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} G_{p-q} W_q \tag{4}
$$

where  $G_k$  and  $W_q$  represent Fourier transforms of the Green function for the probe and the time-ordered screened interaction, respectively. In applying this formula, we replace  $G_k$  by the zero order approxima-tion; for electrons  $(Z_1 = 1)$  [27]:

$$
G_k^0 = \frac{1 - n_k}{k^0 - \omega_k + i\eta} + \frac{n_k}{k^0 - \omega_k - i\eta}
$$
 (5)

where  $\omega_k = \mathbf{k}^2/2$ ,  $\eta$  is a positive infinitesimal, and  $n_k$  represents the occupation number, which at a temperature of  $T = 0$  K is

$$
n_{k} = \theta(k_{F} - |k|) \tag{6}
$$

where  $k_F$  is the Fermi momentum, and  $\theta(x)$  is the Heavi-side function.

The dynamically screened interaction,  $W_q$ , can be represented as follows:

$$
W_q = \varepsilon_q^{-1} v_q \tag{7}
$$

where ν**<sup>q</sup>** represents the Fourier transform of the bare Coulomb interaction:

$$
v_q = \frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2} \tag{8}
$$

and  $\epsilon_q$  is the dielectric function, which is related to the densitydensity response function,  $\chi_q$ , by:

$$
\varepsilon_q^{-1} = I + \mathbf{v}_q \chi_q \tag{9}
$$

Now, introduction of eqs. (5) and (7) into eq. (4), and eq. (4) into eq. (3) gives the following result for the damping rate of incident electrons with energy above the Fermi level:

$$
\gamma = \sum_{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dq^0}{2\pi} P_q \tag{10}
$$

where  $P_q$  represents the probability of transferring fourmomentum  $q = (\mathbf{q}, q^0)$  to the electron gas:

$$
P_q =
$$
\n
$$
-\frac{4\pi}{\Omega} Z_I^2 Im W_q \delta(q^0 - p^0 + \omega_{p-q}) \theta(\omega_{p-q} - E_F)
$$
\n(11)

The delta function in this expression appears as a consequence of energy conservation, and the step function,  $\theta(\omega_{p,q} - E_F)$ , ensures that no electrons lose enough energy to fall below the Fermi level.

When the probe is not an electron, the occupation number of eq. (6) is zero, i.e., we need not take account of the fact that the incident electron cannot make transitions to occupied states in the Fermi sea:

$$
P_q = -\frac{4\pi}{\Omega} Z_I^2 Im W_q \delta(q^0 - p^0 + \omega_{p-q})
$$
 (12)

and if the probe has mass  $M \gg 1$ , then recoil can be neglected in the argument of the delta function to give:

$$
P_q = -\frac{4\pi}{\Omega} Z_I^2 Im W_q \delta(q^0 \cdot q \cdot v)
$$
 (13)

where **v** represents the velocity of the incoming particle.

The inverse mean free path of the probe is easily obtained as follows:

$$
\gamma^I = \frac{I}{\nu} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dq^o}{2\pi} P_q \tag{14}
$$

and the stopping power of the target for the probe is obtained as the energy loss per unit path length of the projectile, after multiplying the probability  $P_q$  by the energy transfer  $q^0$ :

$$
-\frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dq^{\rho}}{2\pi} q^{\rho} P_q
$$
 (15)

In the so-called time-dependent Hartree, or randomphase, approximation, the exact linear response function to a screened charge is replaced by the response function of the non-interacting electron gas:

$$
\chi_q^0 = -2i \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} G_k^0 G_{k+q}^0 \tag{16}
$$

replacing the linear response function to an external charge,  $\chi_q^{\vphantom{\dagger}}$ , by:

$$
\chi_q^{RPA} = \chi_q^0 + \chi_q^0 \, \mathbf{v}_q \, \chi_q^{RPA} \tag{17}
$$

Within this approximation, the self-energy of eq. (4) can be represented diagrammatically as in Figure 1, and cutting the diagrams of this figure through the two-electron lines in all the bubbles would lead to the open diagrammatic representation of scattering amplitudes shown in [42]. In particular, if  $M \gg 1$ , the incident particle can be treated as a prescribed source of energy and momentum, and one finds [42]:

(18)  

$$
S_{f,i} = \frac{2\pi i}{\Omega} Z_i \int d^4 q \delta^4 (q + s - p) W_q^{RPA} \delta (q^0 \cdot q \cdot v)
$$

where  $s = (\mathbf{s}, s^0)$ ,  $p = (\mathbf{p}, p^0)$ , and  $\mathbf{W}_q^{RPA}$  represents the randomphase approximation (RPA) to the screened interaction of eq. (7).

Then, the probability of transferring four-momentum **q** to a free-electron gas by moving a particle from inside the Fermi sea ( $|\mathbf{s}| < q_F$ ) to outside ( $|\mathbf{p}| > q_F$ ), thus creating an electron-hole pair, is derived from the square of the matrix  $element S_{f,i}$ 

$$
P_q = 2 \sum_{s} n_s \sum_{p} (1 - n_p) |S_{f,i}|^2 \delta_{q,p-s}^4 \tag{19}
$$

where  $\delta^4_{q,q'}$  is the symmetric Kronecker  $\delta$  symbol, and introduction of eq. (18) into eq. (19) gives exactly the result of eq. (13) found by the self-energy method.

It is obvious at this point that double plasmon excitations cannot be described within the GW-RPA approximation to the self-energy, represented diagrammatically in Figure 1; double excitations can only be described, within



**Figure 1**. GW-RPA approximation to the self-energy.

the GW approximation, with inclusion in the screened interaction of dynamic local-field corrections. On the other hand, the study of  $Z_1^3$  effects in the stopping power of an electron gas for ions, and, also, the study of nonlinearities in the wake generated by moving ions in an electron gas require going beyond the so-called GW approximation. The main ingredient in the investigation of both double plasmon excitations and  $Z_1^3$  effects is the symmetrized quadratic response function of the non-interacting electron gas:

$$
M_{q,q_1} = i \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} G_k^0 G_{k+q}^0 (G_{k+q_1}^0 + G_{k+q-q_1}^0)
$$
 (20)

which gives account of the quadratic response of the system to a given charge. The real part of this three-point function was first evaluated by Cenni and Saracco [20], explicit expressions for the imaginary part in terms of a sum over hole and particle states have been presented recently [39, 40, 42], and an extension to imaginary frequencies has also been given [44].

### **Double excitation probabilities**

Treating the probe as an external source of energy and momentum, the matrix element corresponding to the process of carrying the system from an initial state  $a_{i}^{+}a_{i}^{+}$   $\mid \Phi_{0}$  $\rangle$  to a final state  $a_{f_1}^* a_{f_2}^* |\Phi_{\overline{0}}\rangle$  is

$$
S_{f_1 f_2, i_l i_2} = \frac{\langle \phi_0 / a_{f_1} a_{f_2} S a_{i_l}^+ a_{i_2}^+ / \phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \phi_0 / S / \phi_0 \rangle} \tag{21}
$$

where  $\Phi_0$  is the vacuum state,  $a_i$  and  $a_i^+$  are annihilation and creation operators for fermions, respectively, and *S* is the scattering matrix. *S* is obtained as a time-ordered exponential in terms of the perturbing Hamiltonian and field operators

 $\Psi(x)$  and  $\Psi^+(x)$  destroying and creating, respectively, a particle at the point **r** at time *t*.

Now, one can apply Wick's theorem. We note that only normal ordered products with four uncontracted field operators contribute, and we find, up to second order in the probe charge, a result that can be represented diagrammatically as in Figure 2. Within the random-phase approximation, the screened interaction,  $W_{q}$ , is obtained from eqs. (7), (9) and (17), and, accordingly, all self-energy and vertex insertions have been neglected. On the other hand, exchange processes and, also, ladder contributions have not been introduced into eq. (21), since they all lead to scattering probabilities that are of a higher order in the screened interaction.

Finally, the probability for transferring fourmo-men-tum *q* to a free-electron gas by moving two particles from inside the Fermi sea ( $|\mathbf{s}_1| < q_F$  and  $|\mathbf{s}_2| < q_F$ ) to outside  $(|\mathbf{p}_1| < q_F$  and  $|\mathbf{p}_2| < q_F$ ) is derived from the square of the matrix element  $S_{\text{f1f2},\text{i1f2}}$ :

$$
P_q = 4 \sum_{q_1} \sum_{s_1} n_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} n_{s_2} \sum_{p_1} (1 - n_{p_1})
$$
  

$$
\sum_{p_2} (1 - n_{p_2}) |S_{f_1 f_2, i_1 i_2}|^2 \delta_{q_p, p_f \cdot q_1}^4 \delta_{q_2, p_2 \cdot q_2}^4 \qquad (22)
$$

If the probe were not a heavy particle, then recoil should be introduced into the argument of the delta function to ensure energy conservation, and, in particular, if the probe were an electron, a step function should also be introduced to ensure that the probe does not lose enough energy to fall below the Fermi level. Then the contribution of eq. (22) to the probability that is proportional to  $Z_1^2$ , obtained after introduction of the



**Figure 2**. Diagrammatic representation, up to second order in the ion charge, of the RPA  $S_{f1f2,i1i2}$  scattering amplitude. Solid internal lines in the first and second diagrams are zero-order propagators, and the triple in-ter-nal vertex in the second diagram represents the quad-ratic density response function of the non-interacting electron gas. All vertex and self-energy insertions have been neglected, as well as ladder contributions.



**Figure 3**. Diagrammatic representation of the matrix element of eq. (26), as obtained within the RPA.

matrix element  $S_{\text{fl2,112}}$  (represented diagrammatically in Figure 2) into eq. (22), would coincide with contributions derived from a GW approximation to the self-energy with inclusion, in the screened interaction, of corresponding dynamic local-field corrections.

In particular, the only  $Z_1^2$  contribution to the probability of eq. (22) which might represent the real excitation of a double plasmon comes from the square of the scattering amplitude represented by the second diagram of Figure 2. It is given by the following expression:

$$
P_q = \frac{16\pi}{\Omega^2} Z_I^2 W_q^2 \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1} \int_0^{q^0} \frac{dq_I^0}{2\pi} \text{Im} W_{q_1} \text{Im} W_{q-q1}
$$
  

$$
M_{q,q_I}{}^2 \delta(q^0 - p^0 + \omega_{p-q}) \theta(\omega_{p-q} - E_F)
$$
 (23)

Introduction of this probability into eq. (14) gives, after approximating the linear and quadratic response functions by their low *q* limits, the following high-velocity limit for the  $Z_1^2$ contribution to the inverse mean free path coming from the excitation of a double plasmon [38]:

$$
\gamma_{2p}^I \approx 0.164 \frac{\sqrt{r_s}}{36\pi \mathbf{v}^2} \tag{24}
$$

Numerical study [19] shows that introduction of the full RPA response functions gives a result for  $\gamma_{2p}^{-1}$  which has, in the high-velocity limit, the same dependence on v as the approximation of eq.  $(24)$ , though it is, for  $r<sub>s</sub> = 2.07$ , larger than this approximation by a factor of 2.16.

# **Z1 3 correction to the stopping power for ions**

The stopping power of an electron gas for a probe of charge  $Z_1$ , mass  $M \gg 1$  and velocity **v** is obtained after introduction of the probability  $P_q$  into eq. (15). Up to third order in the projectile charge:

$$
-\frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dq^o}{2\pi} q^o (P_q^{single} + P_q^{double})
$$
 (25)

where  $P_q^{\text{single}}$  and  $P_q^{\text{double}}$ , probabilities of transferring fourmomentum  $q$  to the electron gas by creating single and double excitations, respectively, are obtained from eqs. (19) and (22), respectively. There,

and

$$
S_{f,i} = \frac{2\pi}{\Omega} Z_1 \int d^4 q \delta^4 (q + s - p) \delta(q^0 - q \cdot v) \times
$$
  

$$
\{W_q + 2\pi Z_1 [iW_{q_1} W_{q - q_1} G_{s + q}^0 -
$$
  

$$
iW_q W_{q_1} W_{q - q_1} M_{q, q_1} ] \delta(q_1^0 - q_1 \cdot v) \}
$$
 (26)

which is represented diagrammatically in Figure 3, and

 $\sim$ 

$$
S_{f_1, f_2, i_1 i_2} = \frac{2\pi i}{\hbar^2 \Omega^2} Z_I \int d^4 q_I \delta^4 (q_I + s_I - p_I)
$$
  

$$
\int d^4 q \delta^4 (q - q_I + s_2 - p_2) \delta (q^0 - q \cdot v) \mathbf{x}
$$
  

$$
\{ [\mathbf{W}_q \mathbf{W}_{q-q_I} (G_{s+q}^0 + G_{s-q+q_I}^0) - \hbar^{-I} \mathbf{W}_q \mathbf{W}_{q_I} \mathbf{W}_{q-q_I} \mathbf{M}_{q,q_I}]
$$
  

$$
+ 2\pi i Z_I \mathbf{W}_{q_I} \mathbf{W}_{q-q_I} \delta (q_I^0 - q_I \cdot v) \}
$$
 (27)

represented diagrammatically in Figure 2. Processes involving higherorder excitations have not been included.

Now, after introduction of eqs. (26) and (27) into eqs. (19) and (22), respectively, we find, up to third order in the ion charge:

$$
-\frac{dE}{dx} = (-dE/dx)^{single_{1}} +
$$
  

$$
(-dE/dx)^{single_{2}} + (-dE/dx)^{double_{2}}
$$
 (28)

where  $(-dE/dx)$ <sup>single1</sup> represents the  $Z_1^2$  contribution to the stopping power coming from single excitations:

$$
(-dE/dx)^{single_1} =
$$
  

$$
-\frac{2}{v}Z_1^2 \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^\infty dq^0 q^0 Im W_q \delta(q^0 - q \cdot v)
$$
 (29)

and  $(-dE/dx)$ <sup>single2</sup> and  $(dE/dx)$ <sup>double2</sup> represent  $Z_1^3$  contributions to the stopping power coming from single and double excitations, respectively:

$$
(-dE/dx)^{single_2} = -\frac{4}{v} Z_I^3 \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^\infty dq^0 q^0 \delta(q^0 - q \cdot v)
$$
  

$$
\int \frac{d^3 q_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dq_I^0 \delta(q_I^0 - q_1 \cdot v) \times
$$
  
[Im W<sub>q</sub> Re(W<sub>q<sub>1</sub></sub> W<sub>q-q<sub>1</sub></sub> M<sub>q,q<sub>1</sub></sub>) +  
Re(W<sub>q</sub><sup>\*</sup> W<sub>q<sub>1</sub></sub> W<sub>q-q<sub>1</sub></sub>) H<sub>q,q<sub>1</sub></sub>] (30)

$$
(-dE/dx)^{double}_{2} = -\frac{8}{v} Z_{1}^{3} \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dq^{0} q^{0} \delta(q^{0} - q \cdot v)
$$
  

$$
\int \frac{d^{3}q_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int_{0}^{q^{0}} dq_{1}^{0} \delta(q_{1}^{0} - q_{1} \cdot v) \times
$$
  

$$
[\text{Im} \, W_{q_{1}} \text{Im} \, W_{q-q_{1}} \text{Im} (W_{q} M_{q,q_{1}}) +
$$

(31)

with

$$
H_{q,q_1} = 8\pi^4 P \int \frac{d^3 s}{2\pi^3} n_s \int \frac{d^3 p}{2\pi^3} (1 - n_p) \delta^3 (q - p + s) \times \tag{32}
$$

 $Im(W_qW_{q_1}^*)Im(W_{q\text{-}q_1}(H_{q_1,q}+H_{q_1,\text{-}(q\text{-}q_1)}))$ 

$$
\left[\frac{\delta(p^{0} + \omega_s - \omega_p)}{q_1^{0} + \omega_s - \omega_{s+q_1}} + \frac{\delta(q^{0} - \omega_s + \omega_p)}{-(q^{0} - q_1^{0}) + \omega_s - \omega_{s+q-q_1}}\right] + (q_1 \to q - q_1)
$$

which is related to the imaginary part of the three-point function of eq. (20) by [39, 40, 42]:

$$
ImM_{q,q_1} = H_{q,q_1} + H_{q_1,q} + H_{q-q_1,q_1} \tag{33}
$$

The contribution to the  $Z_1^2$  stopping power coming from double excitations, which is of higher order in the screened interaction than the contribution of eq. (29), has not been included in eq. (28). However, contributions of eqs. (30) and (31), which are proportional to  $Z_1^3$ , are all of the same order in the screened interaction; they all need, therefore, to be taken into account, and they all can be derived from the knowledge of the  $Z_1^3$  contribution to the self-energy by going beyond the GW approximation.

It is interesting to notice that  $Z_1^3$  contributions to the stopping power that are proportional to the product of two imaginary parts of the screened interaction, appearing as a consequence of both single and double excitations, can be combined, and we also find that contributions to the  $Z_1^3$ stopping power that are proportional to the product of three imaginary parts of the screened interaction, coming from single and double excitations, cancel out.

Consequently, one finds the following result for the contribution to the stopping power that is proportional to  $Z_1^3$ :

$$
(-dE/dx)^{(2)} = (-dE/dx)^{single_2} + (-dE/dx)^{double_2}
$$
  
=  $-\frac{4}{v} Z_1^3 \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^\infty dq^0 q^0 \delta(q^0 - q \cdot v)$   
 $\int \frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dq_1^0 \delta(q_1^0 - q_1 \cdot v) \times$   
 $\int f_1(q, q_1) + f_2(q, q_1) + f_3(q, q_1)]$  (34)

where

$$
f_{l}(q, q_{l}) = ImW_{q}ReW_{q_{1}}ReW_{q - q_{1}}ReM_{q - q_{1}} \qquad (35)
$$

$$
f_2(q, q_1) = ReW_q ReW_{q_1} ReW_{q-q_1} H_{q,q_1}
$$
 (36)

and

$$
f_{3}(q, q_{1}) = -2ImW_{q}ImW_{q_{1}}ReW_{q-q_{1}}H_{q_{1},q}
$$
 (37)

Contributions to the  $Z_1^3$  stopping power of eq. (34) coming from  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  of eqs. (35) and (36) both appear as a consequence of single excitations: the first one comes from the cross product between the first and third diagrams of Figure 3, and gives, therefore, the contribution from losses to one-step single excitations generated by the quadratically screened ion potential, while the second term comes from the cross product between the first and second diagrams of Figure 3 and gives the contribution from losses to two-step single excitations generated by the linearly screened ion potential. The third term comes from both cross products, and also from losses to double excitations. Contributions coming from single plasmons are included in both  $f_1$  and  $f_3$ , and contributions coming from the excitation of double plasmons are only included in  $f_3$ .

Alternatively, the stopping power of an electron gas can be obtained from the knowledge of the wake potential induced in the vicinity of the projectile, as the induced retarding force that the polarization charge distribution exerts on the projectile itself, and a second-order many-body perturbation analysis of the wake potential [12, 41] at **r**, defined as the mean value of the interaction between a test unit positive charge at that point and the electron gas, leads to eq.  $(34)$  for the  $Z_1^3$ stopping power, as demonstrated in [42].

For high velocities of the probe, the electron gas can be considered as if it were at rest, one can use, therefore, the so-called static electron gas approximation for both linear and quadratic response functions, and this results in  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  giving no contribution to the integral of eq. (34), i.e., in the highvelocity limit, only the contribution to the  $Z_1^3$  stopping power that is proportional to only-one imaginary part of the linearly screened interaction, W*<sup>q</sup>* , is different from zero. Furthermore, it has been shown [42] that this contribution to the  $Z_1^3$  effect can be approximated, in the high-velocity limit, by:

$$
(-dE/dx)^{(2)} = Z_1^3 \frac{\omega_p^2}{v^2} L_I
$$
 (38)

where  $\omega_p^2$  represents the plasma frequency  $\omega = 4\pi n$ . *n* is the electron density of the medium, and  $L<sub>1</sub>$  is the  $Z<sub>1</sub><sup>3</sup>$  correction to the so-called stopping number:

$$
L_I \approx 1.42 \frac{\pi \omega_p}{v^3} \ln \frac{2v^2}{2.13 \omega_p}
$$
 (39)

At high velocities, both the wake potential and the stopping power can also be derived within a quantum hydro-dynamical model of the electron gas. In this model, we expand the nonlinear hydrodynamical equations and find, after quantization, a result for the Hamiltonian of the electron plasmaheavy ion system, in terms of the triple vertex interaction between three excitations, that exactly agrees with the result obtained by Ashley and Ritchie [4] by following a different procedure. Then, we find second and third order wake potentials and electronic stopping powers, and also double plasmon excitation probabilities that coincide with plasmonpole like approximations to the full RPA results [13].

## **Results**

Contributions to electron inelastic mean free paths coming from single excitations of the electron plasma have been calculated in the high velocity limit [17, 37], and in the full RPA [8, 52, 53]. Figure 4 shows, as a solid line, our full RPA results for the double plasmon inverse mean free path of electrons passing through an electron gas of a density equal to that of aluminum, as a function of the velocity, together with the double plasmon inverse mean free path of positrons (dashed line) and the high-velocity limit of eq. (24) multiplied by a factor of 2.16 (dotted line):

$$
\gamma_{2p}^1 \approx 3.13 \, x \, 10^{-3} \frac{\sqrt{r_s}}{v^2} \tag{40}
$$

At high velocities of the projectile, the electron gas can be considered to be at rest, the effect of the Pauli restriction is, therefore, removed, and the behaviour of the double plasmon inverse mean free path, as a function of the velocity, is independent of the particle statistics. On the other hand, it is interesting to note that the high-velocity limit of eq. (40)



**Figure 4**. Full RPA double plasmon inverse mean free path of electrons passing through an electron gas of a density equal to that of aluminum  $(r<sub>s</sub> = 2.07)$ , as a function of the velocity (solid line). The dashed line represents the double plasmon inverse mean free path of posi-trons, and the dotted line, the high-velocity limit of eq.  $(24)$ .



**Figure 5.** Full RPA  $Z_1^3$  and  $Z_1^3$  contributions to the stop-ping power calculated from eqs. (29) and (34), re-spectively, for  $Z<sub>1</sub>$ and  $r<sub>s</sub> = 2.07$ , as a function of the ve-locity of the projectile. Dashed and dashed-dotted lines represent  $Z_i^3$  contributions from  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  of eqs. (35) and (36), respectively. The dotted line represents the high-velocity limit of eq. (38).

40 keV, from eq. (40), we find a ratio for the double relative to the single plasmon of 1.93 x  $10^{-3}$ , in agreement with the experiment [46].

Contributions to the stopping power that are proportional to  $Z_1^2$  and  $Z_1^3$ , as obtained from eqs. (29) and  $(34)$ , are plotted in Figure 5 by solid lines, as a function of the velocity, again for  $r<sub>s</sub> = 2.07$ . It is interesting to notice that both  $Z_1^2$  and  $Z_1^3$  contributions to the stopping power exhibit a linear dependence on the velocity up to velocities approaching the stopping maximum; this linear dependence has also been observed for the low-velocity stopping power when it is calculated to all orders in the probe charge, on the basis of the density functional theory [55]. The linear dependence of the  $Z_1^3$  correction to the stopping power is, however, a con-sequence of two competing effects. First, there is the effect of one-step single excitations generated by the quadratically screened ion potential, represented by a dashed line in the same figure, and then the effect of two-step single excitations generated by the linearly screened ion potential, represented by a dashed-dotted line. The contribution from losses to two-step single excitations, represented by  $f_2$  of eq. (36), is very small at high velocities when the velocity distribution of target electrons can be neglected. In this case, the static elec-tron gas approximation can be made, the only non-vanishing contribution to the  $Z_1^3$ effect comes, in this approximation, from  $f_1$  of eq. (35), i.e., from losses to one-step single excitations generated by the quadratically screened ion potential, and one finds that the result obtained in this approximation is well reproduced by eq. (38), represented in Figure 5 by a dotted line. This approximation gives a good account of the full RPA result, even at intermediate velocities where the velocity of target electrons is not negligible, and this is again a consequence of two competing effects. First, the non-negligible motion of the electron gas gives rise to a smaller contribution from losses to one-step single excitations, and this is almost compensated by the non-vanishing contribution from losses to two-step single excitations. Contributions from losses to double excitations are small in a wide range of projectile velocities, and they are exact-ly equal to zero as far as the electron gas can be considered to be at rest.

In order to analyze the contribution to the nonlinear stopping power coming from losses to collective excitations, we first show in Figure 6 the separate contributions to the linear term from plasmon excitation and electron-hole pair excitation by the incident particle. For a momentum transfer that is smaller than  $q_c$  (the critical wave vector where the plasmon dispersion enters the electron-hole pair excitation spectrum), both the plasmon and the electron-hole pair excitation contribute to the energy loss, though contributions from losses from electron-hole pair excitations are very small. For  $q > q_c$ , however, only the excitation of electron-hole pairs con-tributes. Total contributions to the  $Z_1^2$  stopping power

gives a good account of the full RPA result for both incident electrons and positrons in a wide range of projectile velocities. In particular, for aluminum and an incident electron energy of



**Figure 6.** Full RPA  $Z_1^2$  contribution to the stopping power (solid line), versus velocity. Dashed and dotted lines represent contributions from plasmon and single electron-hole pair excitations, respectively.



**Figure 7.** Full RPA  $Z_1^2$  contribution to the stopping power (solid line), versus velocity. Dashed and dotted lines represent total contributions for  $q < q_c$  and  $q > q_c$ , respectively, q representing the momentum transfer, and  $q_c$ , the critical momentum for the plasmon being a well-defined excitation.

coming from  $q < q_c$  and  $q > q_c$  are shown in Figure 7, and contributions coming from  $q < \sqrt{\omega_p}$  and  $q > \sqrt{2\omega_p}$  are plotted in Figure 8;  $\sqrt{2\omega_p}$  is the low-density limit of  $q_c$ . Contributions to the stopping power coming from losses to plasmons is, therefore, smaller than contributions from losses to electronhole pairs, especially at high electron-densities, though there is, at high velocities, exact equipartition of the energy loss



**Figure 8**. As in Figure 7, with  $q_c$  approximated by its lowdensity limit:  $q = \sqrt{2\omega_p}$ .



**Figure 9.** Full  $\text{RPA } Z_1^3$  contribution to the stopping pow-er (solid line), as a function of the velocity of the projectile. The total contribution from  $f_1$  of eq. (35) (solid line) has been split into contributions coming from losses to single plasmons (dashed line) and single electron-hole pairs (dotted line). The dashed-dotted line represents the total contribution from  $f_2$  of eq. (36), which appears as a consequence of losses to electronhole pair excitations.

corresponding to momentum transfers larger and smaller than √2ω*<sup>p</sup>* . This equipartition rule appears straight-forward in the electron gas at rest approximation, and it has been formulated, for an electron gas not at rest, by Lindhard and Winther [32]. This equipartition is also found to be exact, in the high velocity

limit, by using Coulomb scattering of independent electrons with  $q_{\text{min}} = \omega_p/v$  or by assuming that independent electrons are scattered by a velocity dependent Yukawa potential with screening length proportional to  $\omega_p$ /v.

As far as the  $Z_1^3$  stopping power is concerned, we have split the contributions to  $f_1$  from losses to single plasmons and single electron-hole pairs, and we have found the result shown in Figure 9 by dashed and dotted lines, respectively. On the other hand, all contributions to  $f_2$ , represented in this figure by a dashed-dotted line, come from losses to electronhole pairs. Thus, it is obvious from this figure that contributions to the  $Z_1^3$  effect coming from losses to plasmons is small, showing that nonlinear corrections to losses from single plasmons are not important, and that collective excitations appear to be well described by linearly screened ion potentials. The equipartition rule, valid within first order perturbation theory and/or a linear response theory of the electron gas, cannot be extended, therefore, to higher orders in the external perturbation.

Finally, in order to account approximately for the  $Z_1^3$ effect coming from both the conduction band and the innershells, a local plasma approximation has been used, by assuming that a local Fermi energy can be attributed to each element of the solid, and experimental differences between the stopping power of silicon for protons and antiprotons have been successfully explained in this way [40].

## **Conclusions**

In conclusion, we have developed a quadratic response theory for the understanding of nonlinear aspects in the interaction of charged particles with matter. In the frame of many-body perturbation theory, we have studied the interaction of charged particles with the elec-tron gas, within the random phase-approximation, and, in particular, the nonlinear wake potential generated by moving ions in matter, the  $Z_1^3$  correction to the stopping power, and processes involved in multiple excitations of electron-hole pairs and plasmons.

Double plasmon mean free paths for incident electrons and positrons, and also second order contributions to the stopping power coming from the excitation of single and double plasmons have been evaluated, for the first time, in the full RPA, as a function of the velocity of the projectile.

Our results for the  $Z_1^3$  correction to the stopping power show that for velocities smaller than the Fermi velocity, the stopping power is, up to third order in the ion charge, a linear function of the projectile velocity. We have presented, for the high-velocity limit, a for-mula that gives a good account of the full RPA result in a wide range of projectile velocities, and our theory agrees well with the experiment. We have also sepa-rated the contributions to the stopping power coming from losses to plasmon generation, and we have found that collective excitations are well described by linearly screened ion potentials.

A nonlinear quantum hydrodynamical model of the electron gas has also been developed [13]. It has been demonstrated that double plasmon excitation probabilities and the second order wake potential and stopping power coincide, within this model, with a plasmon-pole like approximation to our full RPA scheme. An extension of this model to study the bounded electron gas is now in progress [14].

Full calculations of second order contributions to the wake potential and the induced electron density, within the RPA, for different values of the velocity of the projectile and the electron density of the medium will be published elsewhere [15].

An analysis of the differences between a self-energy approach to the  $Z_1^3$  correction to the stopping power and the open diagrammatical approach presented here, and, also, investigations of the  $Z_1^3$  stopping power for incident electrons and positrons are now in progress.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The authors gratefully acknowledge discussions with P.M. Echenique and R.H. Ritchie. We wish also to acknowledge the support of the University of the Basque Country, the Basque Unibertsitate eta Ikerketa Saila, and the Spanish Comisión Asesora, Científica y Técnica (CAICYT).

### **References**

[1] Adler SL (1962) Quantum theory of the dielectric constant in real solids. Phys. Rev. **126**, 413-420.

[2] Andersen LH, Hvelplund P, Knudsen H, Moller SP, Pedersen JOP, Uggerhoj E, Elsener K, Morenzoni E (1989) Measurement of the  $Z_1^3$  contribution to the stopping power using MeV protons and antiprotons: The Barkas effect. Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1731-1734.

[3] Arnau A, Zaremba E (1994) Nonlinear hydro-dynamical theory of the electronic stopping power. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **90**, 32-35.

[4] Ashley JC, Ritchie RH (1970) Double-plasmon excitation in a free-electron gas. Phys. Stat. Sol. **38**, 425-434.

[5] Ashley JC, Ritchie RH, Brandt W (1972) Z effect in the stopping power of matter for charged particles. Phys. Rev. B **5**, 2393-2397.

[6] Ashley JC, Ritchie RH, Brandt W (1973)  $Z_1^3$ dependent stopping power and range contributions. Phys. Rev. A **8**, 2402-2408.

[7] Ashley JC, Ritchie RH, Brandt W (1974)  $Z_1^3$ dependent range contributions. Phys. Rev. A **10**, 737.

[8] Ashley JC, Tung CJ, Ritchie RH (1979) Electron inelastic mean free paths and energy losses in solids. Surf. Sci. **81**, 409-425.

[9] Ashley JC, Ritchie RH, Echenique PM, Nieminen RM (1986) Nonlinear calculations of the energy-loss of slow ions in an electron-gas for slow ions. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **15**, 11-13.

[10] Barkas WH, Birnbaum W, Smith FM (1956) Massratio method to the measurement of L-Meson masses and the energy balance in pion decay. Phys. Rev. **11**, 778-795.

[11] Barkas WH, Dver NJ, Heckman HH (1963) Resolution of the  $\sigma$ -mass anomaly. Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 26-28, 138(E).

[12] Bergara A, Pitarke JM (1995) Nonlinear wake in the random-phase-approximation. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **96**, 604-609.

[13] Bergara A, Pitarke JM, Ritchie RH (1996) Nonlinear quantum hydrodynamical model of the elec-tron gas. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **115**, 70-74.

[14] Bergara A, Pitarke JM, García de Abajo FJ (1997) Nonlinear corrections to the image potential of charged particles moving parallel to a metal surface, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B (in press).

[15] Bergara A, Campillo I, Pitarke JM, Echenique PM (1997) Quadratic induced polarization by an external heavy charge in an electron gas. Phys. Rev. B (in press).

[16] Bethe HA (1930) Zur Theorie des Durchgangs schneller Korpuskularstrahlen durch Materie (Theory of the passage of fast corpuscular rays through matter). Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **5**, 325-400.

[17] Bohm D, Pines D (1953) A collective description of electron interactions: III. Coulomb interactions in a degenerate electron gas. Phys. Rev. **92**, 609-625.

[18] Brandt W, Kitagawa M (1982) Effective stoppingpower charges of swift ions in condensed matter. Phys. Rev. B **25**, 5631-5637.

[19] Campillo I, Pitarke JM (1996) Coherent doubleplasmon excitation in solids. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **115**, 75- 78.

[20] Cenni R, Saracco P (1988) Evaluation of a class of diagrams useful in many-body calculations. Nucl. Phys. A **487**, 279-300.

[21] Crawford OH, Nestor CW Jr (1983) Positiondependent stopping power for channeled ions. Phys. Rev. A **28**, 1260-1266.

[22] Dorado JJ, Crawford OH, Flores F (1994) The nonlinear wake in a hydrodynamical model. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **93**, 175-180; erratum, **95**, 144-145.

[23] Echenique PM, Nieminen RM, Ritchie RH (1981) Density functional calculation of stopping power of an electron gas for slow ions. Solid State Commun. **37**, 779-781.

[24] Echenique PM, Nieminen RM, Ashley JC, Ritchie RH (1986) Nonlinear stopping power of an electron gas for slow ions. Phys. Rev. A **33**, 897-904.

[25] Echenique PM, Flores F, Ritchie RH (1990) Dynamic screening of ions in condensed matter. In: Solid State Physics - Advances in Research and Applications, Vol. 43. Ehrenreich EH, Turbull D (eds.). Academic Press, New York. pp. 229-308.

[26] Esbensen H, Sigmund P (1990) Barkas effect in a dense medium: Stopping power and Wake field. Ann. Phys. **201**, 152-192.

[27] Fetter AL, Walecka JD (1971) Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems. McGraw-Hill, New York. pp. 51-224.

[28] Hedin L, Lundqvist S (1969) Effects of electronelectron and electron-phonon interactions on the one-electron states of solids. In: Solid State Physics - Advances in Research and Applications, Vol. 23. Seitz F, Turbull D, Ehrenreich EH (eds.). Academic Press, New York. pp. 1-181.

[29] Hu CD, Zaremba E (1988)  $Z^3$  correction to the stopping power of ions in an electron gas. Phys. Rev. B **37**, 9268-9277.

[30] Hubbard J (1957) The description of collective motions in terms of many-body perturbation theory: II. The correlation energy of a free-electron gas. Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **243**, 336-352.

[31] Lindhard J, Dan K (1954) On the properties of a gas of charged particles. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd. **28**(8), 1-57.

[32] Lindhard J, Winther A, Dan K (1964) Stopping power of electron gas and equipartition rule. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd. **34**(4), 1-22.

[33] Medenwaldt R, Moller SP, Uggerhoj E, Worm T, Hvelplund P, Knudsen H, Elsener K, Morenzoni E (1991) Measurement of the stopping power of silicon for antiprotons between 0.2 and 3 MeV. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **58**, 1-5.

[34] Medenwaldt R, Moller SP, Uggerhoj E, Worm T, Hvelplund P, Knudsen H, Elsener K, Morenzoni E (1991) Measurement of the antiproton stopping power of gold - The Barkas effect. Phys. Lett. A **155**, 155-158.

[35] Mermin ND (1970) Lindhard dielectric function in the relaxation-time approximation. Phys. Rev. B **1**, 2362-2363.

[36] Mikkelsen H, Sigmund P (1989) Barkas effect in electronic stopping power: Rigorous evaluation for the harmonic oscillator. Phys Rev. A **40**, 101-116.

[37] Pines D (1953) A collective description of elec-tron interactions: IV. Electron interaction in metals. Phys. Rev. **92**, 626-636.

[38] Pitarke JM, Ritchie RH (1994) Double plasmon excitation in an electron gas. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **90**, 358- 362 [eq. (23) of this reference must be corrected and replaced by eq. (10) of Ref. 19].

[39] Pitarke JM, Ritchie RH, Echenique PM (1993) Z correction to the stopping power of an electron gas for ions. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **79**, 209-212.

[40] Pitarke JM, Ritchie RH, Echenique PM, Zaremba E (1993) The  $Z_1^3$  correction to the Bethe-Bloch energy loss formula. Europhys. Lett. **24**, 613-619.

[41] Pitarke JM, Bergara A, Ritchie RH (1995) Nonlinear

effects on charged particle interactions in matter. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **99**, 187-191.

[42] Pitarke JM, Ritchie RH, Echenique PM (1995) Quadratic response theory of the energy loss of charged particles in an electron gas. Phys. Rev. B **52**, 13883-13902.

[43] Quinn JJ, Ferrell RA (1958) Electron self-energy approach to the correlation in a degenerate electron gas. Phys. Rev. **112**, 812-827.

[44] Richardson CF, Ashcroft NW (1994) Dynamical local-field factors and effective interactions in the threedimensional electron liquid. Phys. Rev. B **50**, 8170-8181.

[45] Ritchie RH (1959) Interaction of charged particles with a degenerate Fermi-Dirac electron gas. Phys. Rev. **114**, 644-654.

[46] Schattschneider P, Fodermayr F, Su DS (1987) Coherent double-plasmon excitation in aluminum. Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 724-727.

[47] Singwi KS, Tosi MP (1981) Correlations in electron liquids. Solid State Physics - Advances in Research and Applications, Vol. 36. Academic Press, new York. pp. 177-266.

[48] Singwi KS, Tosi MP, Land RH, Sjolander A (1968) Electron correlations at metallic densities. Phys. Rev. **176**, 589- 599.

[49] Singwi KS, Sjolander A, Tosi MP, Land RH (1970) Electron correlations at metallic densities. IV. Phys. Rev. B **1**, 1044-1053.

[50] Spence JC, Spargo AE (1971) Observation of double-plasmon excitation in aluminum. Phys. Rev. Lett. **26**, 895-897.

[51] Sung CC, Ritchie RH (1983)  $Z_1^3$  dependence of the energy loss of an ion passing through an electron gas. Phys. Rev. A **28**, 674-681.

[52] Tung CJ, Ritchie RH (1977) Electron slowing-down spectra in aluminum metal. Phys. Rev. B **16**, 4302-4313.

[53] Tung CJ, Ashley JC, Ritchie RH (1979) Electron inelastic mean free paths and energy losses in solids II. Surf. Sci. **81**, 427-439.

[54] Wiser N (1963) Dielectric constant with local field effects included. Phys. Rev. **129**, 62-69.

[55] Zaremba E, Arnau A, Echenique PM (1995) Nonlinear screening and stopping powers at finite projectile velocities. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B **96**, 619-625.

**Editor's Note**: All of the reviewer's concerns were appropriately addressed by text changes, hence there is no **Discussion with Reviewers**.